

1
2
3
4
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
7
8
9

10 TIMOTHY L. SANDERS,

11 Petitioner,

12 vs.

13 DWIGHT NEVENS et al.,

14 Respondents.
15 _____

)
)
) 2:11-cv-02064-KJD-VCF
)
)

ORDER
)
)
)
)
)

16 The petitioner has presented the Court with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant
17 to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (received December 21, 2011) and later filed an application to proceed *in forma*
18 *pauperis*. ECF No. 3.

19 The Court granted the application to proceed in forma pauperis, directed the Clerk to file
20 the petition and to serve it upon respondents. ECF No. 4. The Order of the Court directed respondents
21 to answer or otherwise respond within 45 days of entry of that order, putting the response due date at
22 April 20, 2012. As of the date of this order, respondents have not appeared or answered. Neither have
23 they sought additional time for same. Respondents shall be given an opportunity to appear and explain
24 their failure to comply with the order of this Court.

25 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Respondents **SHALL FILE A NOTICE OF**
26 **APPEARANCE** in this matter within *ten days* of issuance of this Order and shall therein provide the

1 Court with an explanation for their initial failure to appear.

2 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk shall **ELECTRONICALLY SERVE** the
3 **petition** (ECF No. 1) the **Order of March 6, 2012** (ECF No. 4), **along with this order** upon the
4 respondents.

5 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that respondents shall comply with the directives and
6 scheduling of this Court as set forth in this and any other Order issued herein.

7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8 DATED: July 27, 2012



9
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE